UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,745	03/21/2006	Toshikazu Takada	0403730375	3314
	7590 07/07/201 ARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	T NIVI	DEJONG, ERIC S		
3000 K STREE WASHINGTO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/572,745	TAKADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ERIC 3. DEJONG	1631						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>6/30/2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLC	WANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the state of time may be obtained under 37 CER 1.136(a). The date	f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as								
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	than three months after the mailing dat	e or the linarrejection, e	ven ii umeiy illea,					
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. 🛛 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t			cause					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor		ΓE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •							
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.						
NOTE: <u>see continuation sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11)								
4. 📙 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 15-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see continuation sheet. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
	/ERIC S. DEJONG/							
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1631						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of Item 3.

Applicants proposed after Final amendments to the instant claims include numerous substantive changes to the entire text of all independent claims as well as the majority of dependent claims. Said amendments are directed to additional limitations of the performance of calculations as well as further data storing, data transferring, and data retrieving steps. If applicants proposed amendment were entered, they would require further significant search and consideration of prior art and may necessitate further grounds of rejection at a time when prosecution is formally closed. Therefore, applicants proposed after Final amendments will not be entered onto the record at this time.

Continuation of Item 11, NOTE:

All rejections and/or objections set forth in the Final Office action mailed 03/30/2010 are maintained for reasons of record. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-13 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. Claims 1-13 and 15-19 are also rejected under 35 USC 112, first paragraph since the claimed invention is not supported by either a specific or substantial utility, one skilled in the art would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Applicants arguments filed 06/30/2010 have been considered but are not persuasive. Applicants after Final arguments are directed to proposed amendments that have not been made of record. Therefore, applicants arguments are not persuasive in regard to the current claims of record, as filed on 01/04/2010.